

ISSN: 0974-6471, Vol. 10, No. (4) 2017, Pg. 730-735

Oriental Journal of Computer Science and Technology

Journal Website: www.computerscijournal.org

Performance Analysis of Heterogenous WSN for **Application in IoT**

T. M. BEHERA*, S. K. MOHAPATRA and O. P. ACHARYA

School of Electronics Engineering, Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology Bhubaneswar, India.

Abstract

Extending the Internet to connect any physical device with smart technology can be called as the Internet of Things (IoT). It is a proposed development of the Internet in which everything can be connected to the internet enabling them to send and receive data. Selection of energy efficient routing protocols has become an essential step in designing any IoT network. Moreover, the protocol should also be selected to enhance the lifetime of the network. In this article, we evaluate the performance analysis of DEEC (Distributed Energy Efficient Clustering), DDEEC (Developed DEEC), EDEEC (Enhanced DEEC) and TDEEC (Threshold DEEC) for the application in IoT. From MATLAB simulation, it was observed that TDEEC outperforms other routing protocols and is well suited for IoT application.



Article History

Received: 13 December 2017 Accepted:23 December 2017

Keywords

IoT, WSN DEEC DDEEC, EDEEC, TDEEC.

Introduction

IoT includes three major components for seamless communication between the source and end user. The first is the hardware which is comprised of sensors or actuators and entrenched communicating hardware like Radio Frequency Identification (RFID), Wireless Sensor Network (WSN), etc. The second is a middleware which performs on-demand storage and computing tools for data analytics. The last part of IoT is a presentation of novel and easy to understand conception and interpretation tools that can be widely accessed on different platforms that are designed for different applications¹.

The emerging IoT has a diversified application scenario equipped with a wide range of heterogeneous devices. As WSN also has a wide range of application in the various working domain and is also well suited for long-term data acquisition, hence WSN will be the best sensor interfacing device in the IoT environment^{2,3}.

The WSN especially consists of distributed autonomous sensors which monitored environmental or physical conditions like temperature, pressure, sounds, etc. and passes their sensed information through the path to the main location⁴. These paths

Bhubaneswar, India.

CONTACT T. M. Behera 🌌 truptifet@kiit.ac.in 오 School of Electronics Engineering, Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology,

© 2017 The Author(s). Published by Techno Research Publishers

This is an Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/), which permits unrestricted NonCommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.13005/ojcst/10.04.05

are made by using routing. The routing is a process of transmission of data from a source node to the destination node⁵. Various types of routing protocol are used for the communication purpose. These routing protocols are affected in WSN by several exigent factors like throughput, scalability, bandwidth utilization, network lifetime, etc. Cluster-based hierarchical routing protocols have been proved to have good energy utilization rate⁶ when compared to the non-cluster routing algorithm. The classification of a routing protocol can be done according to:

- the establishment of routing paths,
- the network structure,
- the protocol operation,
- the initiator of communications

• Selection of protocol based on the next hop on the route of the forwarded message.

When energy efficiency and stability is required, then the cluster-based routing protocols7 are incorporated. Energy-efficient protocols can be designed which will adapt with the various characteristics of WSNs, in order to enhance the network lifetime8. The hierarchical cluster-based protocol is more energy efficient because nodes with higher energy are random data selected to process the information and nodes with low energy are used to sense and send the data to the clustered (CH). In this process, a lifetime of the network and stability period is increased. Distributed Energy-Efficient Clustering (DEEC)^{8,9} is one of the cluster-based hierarchical protocol used especially for multilevel communication in a heterogeneous routing environment. In DEEC¹⁰ protocol, the CHs are selected based on the ratio between the residual energy of each node and the average energy of the network^{8,11}.The DEEC protocol functions with the estimation of the idealistic value of network lifetime in order to compute a reference energy which will be consumed by a node for each round. So that lower energy nodes have less probability than the higher initial and residual energy node to be the CH. Thus DEEC protocol is more stable than the other heterogeneous protocols12.

Heterogeneous WSN

Most of the algorithms proposed for routing of data are meant to enhance the network lifetime and its stability period. The LEACH¹³ protocol was designed for a homogeneous sensor network. However, many algorithms have also been developed considering different heterogeneity levels in terms of energy. Depending on energy, the sensor nodes are categorized as normal nodes, advanced nodes or super nodes¹⁴. In a co-operative communication network, where the CHs need to communicate with each other, a low energy path is desired. DEEC is a clustering protocol for two and multilevel heterogeneous networks¹⁵.

DEEC

The DEEC protocol⁸ was proposed for networks with different energy level, where the selection of CH can be decided on the basis of both initial as well as residual energy. For homogenous networks all nodes are assigned with equal initial energy hence p_{ost} is used for reference energy of probability pi. In this network, sensor nodes are either normal or advanced nodes. If E₀ is the energy given to normal node, then $E_{0}(1+a)$ be the energy assigned to advanced nodes, where 'a' will be the extra fraction of energy given to advanced nodes. If 'm' is the fraction of advanced sensors, then N(1-m) will be the amount of normal sensors and Nm is the total amount of advanced nodes. Hence the overall preliminary energy of the network is the summation of energies of normal as well as advanced nodes10 and is written as:

$$E_{Total} = N(1-m)E_0 + Nm(1+a)E_0$$
 ...(1)

$$E_{Total} = NE_0(1+am) \qquad \dots (2)$$

In a heterogeneous network, p_{opt} depends on the value of initial energy of normal and advanced nodes; hence p_{opt} can be given as:

$$p_{adv} = \frac{p_{opt}}{1+am} \qquad \dots (3)$$

$$p_{nrm} = \frac{p_{opr}(1+a)}{(1+am)} \dots (4)$$

If E_{avg} is the average energy network for round r then the probability of selecting CH can be given as

$$p_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_{opt}E_{avg}}{(1+am)}; \text{ for normal modes} & \dots(5) \end{cases}$$

$$p_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_{opt}(1+a)E_{avg}}{(1+am)E_{avg}}; \text{ for advanced nodes} & \dots(6) \end{cases}$$

In multi-level networks, all the nodes are assigned with different energy levels. The primary power of nodes can be dispersed over a set ranging from $\{E_0, E_0(1+a_{max})\}$ with E_0 being the lower bound where amax is the value of the maximum energy assigned. The overall initial energy of the network can be given as:

$$E_{total} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_0(1+a_i) = E_0(N+\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i) \qquad \dots (7)$$

Similarly for multilevel heterogeneous networks as written in⁸ can be given as:

$$p_{multi} = \frac{p_{opt}N(1+a_i)}{(N+\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_i)} \dots (8)$$

And the probability for the network can be given as:

$$p_{i} = \frac{p_{apt}N(1+a)E_{avg}}{(N+\sum_{i=1}^{N}a_{i})E_{avg}} \dots (9)$$

If the overall energy of the sensing field is represented as E_{total} and E_{round} is the energy expended during each round, then the average energy of the field E_{avg} for round r can be given as:

$$E_{avg} = \frac{1}{N} E_{total} \left(1 - \frac{r}{R} \right) \qquad \dots (10)$$

where R represents the number of rounds in the network given by E_{total} / E_{round}

DDEEC

In a two-level heterogeneous network as in DEEC, it becomes obvious for the advanced nodes to be elected as CH repeatedly due to more residual energy. As a result, there comes a point in the network, where all the advanced nodes will have the same residual energy as that of normal nodes. Hence to overcome this unbalanced situation, Distributed-DEEC was proposed to modify equations 8 and 9 by taking a threshold residual energy value as given in¹⁶ written as:

$$Th_{\text{Rev}} = E_0 (1 + \frac{aE_{disNN}}{E_{disNN} - E_{disAN}}) \square (\frac{7}{10}) E_0 \qquad \dots (11)$$

Under this condition, normal and advanced nodes will have the same probability to be elected as CH. Therefore, the average probability for selection of CH is now rewritten as

$$p_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_{opt}E_{avg}}{(1+am)E_{avg}}; \\ \text{for normal nodes with } \mathsf{E}_{avg} > \mathsf{Th}_{\mathsf{Rev}} \\ \dots (12) \end{cases}$$

$$p_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_{opt}(1+a)E_{avg}}{(1+am)E_{avg}}; & \text{for advanced nodes with } E_{avg} > \\ Th_{Rev} & \dots(13) \end{cases}$$

$$p_{i} = \begin{cases} c \frac{(1+a)p_{opt}E_{avg}}{(1+am)E_{avg}}; & \text{for normal and advanced nodes} \\ \text{with } E_{avg} > \text{Th}_{Rev} & \dots(14) \end{cases}$$

EDEEC

It is a 3-level heterogeneous network with normal, advanced and super nodes¹⁰ categorized based on energy level. The normal and advanced nodes follow the same principle as that of the two-level network. The super-nodes of fraction 'm₀' have 'b' times more energy than normal nodes¹⁰. Hence the energy of super-nodes can be written as $E_0(1+b)$. If the number of sensors in the network is N, then Nmm₀ is the number of advanced nodes¹⁰. Hence the overall preliminary energy of the network is the summation of energies of all type of node, i.e. normal, advanced nodes and super-node which is given as:

$$E_{Total} = N(1-m)E_0 + Nm(1-m_0)(1+a)E_0 + Nm_0E_0(1+b)$$
...(15)

$$\therefore E_{total} = NE_0(1 + m(a + m_0 b))$$
 ...(16)

The value of p is given as:

$$p_i = \begin{cases} \frac{p_{opt}E_{avg}}{(1 + m(a + m_0 b))E_{avg}}; & \text{for normal nodes} \\ \dots (17) \end{cases}$$

$$p_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_{opt}(1+a)E_{avg}}{(1+m(a+m_{0}b))E_{avg}}; \\ \dots \end{cases} \text{ for advanced nodes} \\ \dots (18) \end{cases}$$

$$p_{i} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_{opt}(1+b)E_{avg}}{(1+m(a+m_{0} b))E_{avg}}; & \text{for super nodes} \\ \dots (19) \end{cases}$$

TDEEC

In TDEEC, for each round, every node decides to be a CH by choosing a number randomly between 0 and 1. A threshold level T_s is defined by equation 20 as given in¹⁷. Only when the number is less than Ts, the node can be elected as CH for that particular round. If the optimal number of CH in the network can be written as k_{out} , then T_s is given as:

$$T_{s} = \begin{cases} \frac{p}{1 - p(r \mod p)} \times \frac{\text{Residual energy of a node} \times k_{opt}}{\text{Average energy of the network}} \\ \dots (20) \end{cases}$$

Simulation & Result

Considering 100 nodes deployed in a random manner in a network of 100 m×100 m to perform MATLAB simulation. The sink node is placed at the center of the network field and is assumed to have unlimited energy. Simulation for all the four protocols in two-level, 3-level heterogeneous WSN is done to evaluate the network performances. To generate MATLAB simulation, we consider these parameters as listed below.

Symbol	Value	
m	0.5	
а	1.5	
b	3	
m _o	0.4	
p _{opt}	0.1	
E _{Bx}	0.0013/pJ/bit/ m4	
E _{fs}	10/pJ/bit/m ²	
Eamp	100/pJ/bit/m ²	

E _{ele}	50/nj/bit	
E _{Tx}	50/nJ/bit	
E _{DA}	5/nJ/bit	
d _o	87 meters	

Figure 1 shows the number of nodes alive with each round. It was found that the first node for DEEC, DDEEC, EDEEC, and TDEEC dies at 1119,1404,1415,1482 rounds respectively. It is clear that the TDEEC protocol is the most stable protocol out of DEEC, DDEEC and EDEEC. Since the probabilities of TDEEC and EDEEC are separately specified for each type of heterogeneous nodes, hence they have higher stability period than that of DDEEC and DEEC that uses same probabilities.



The overall power consumption for the network for all the protocols can be evaluated from Figure 2. The initial energy for the normal node, advanced node, and super nodes are assumed to be 75J, 100J and 123J respectively. It is found that the energy dissipation rate is much slower for TDEEC and EDEEC as compared to DEEC and DDEEC due to the usage of different levels of energy in nodes. As a result, the network energy is totally dissipated at around 3000 rounds for DEEC and DDEEC whereas the nodes are still functioning for EDEEC and TDEEC even after 5000 rounds.

Figure 3 shows the data packets transferred to BS with each round. It can be seen that amount of data sent to base station rises in the initial rounds but gradually decreases due to the drainage of

733

DEEC

DDEEC

EDEEC

TDEEC

network energy. It is clear that TDEEC and EDEEC perform well as compared to DEEC and EDEEC



Rounds

3000

4000

5000

2000

but a maximum number of data transfer occurs in case TDEEC.



Fig. 3: Packets sent to BS

Conclusion

130

120

110

100

90

80 70

60

50 40

30

20 10

0

0

1000

Total Energy Consumption

One of the important applications of WSN is designing an IoT system. The sensors used for IoT should be able to sense the environment continuously as long as possible to transmit data to the end user. Communicating the data should be done in an efficient way to conserve energy in order to enhance the overall network lifetime. In this article, the performance analysis of heterogeneous sensor network with DEEC, DDEEC, EDEEC and TDEEC routing protocols have been done using MATLAB. It was found that the protocol using nodes of different energy levels performs well in terms of stability period, energy dissipation and throughput. Since TDEEC outperforms all other protocols it can be suggested for IoT application.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank to the School of Electronics Engineering, Kalinga Institute of Industrial Technology (Deemed to be University), Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India for providing the necessary financial support. We would also like to show our gratitude to the "anonymous" reviewers for their so-called insights.

Reference

1 A. P. Renold and R. J. Rani, "An internetbased RFID library management system," in *Information & Communication Technologies* (*ICT*), 2013 IEEE Conference on, 2013, pp. 932–936.

P. Bellavista, G. Cardone, A. Corradi, and L. Foschini, "Convergence of MANET and WSN in IoT urban scenarios," *IEEE Sens. J.*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 3558–3567, 2013.

3 M. T. Lazarescu, "Design of a WSN platform for long-term environmental monitoring for IoT applications," *IEEE J. Emerg. Sel. Top. Circuits Syst.*, vol. **3**, no. 1, pp. 45–54, 2013. S. P. Singh and S. C. Sharma, "A survey on cluster-based routing protocols in wireless sensor networks," *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 45, pp. 687–695, 2015.

5 L. Yadav and C. Sunitha, "Low Energy Adaptive Clustering Hierarchy in Wireless Sensor Network (LEACH)," *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol.*, vol. **5**, no. 3, pp. 4661–4664, 2014.

6 Y. Li, N. Yu, W. Zhang, W. Zhao, X. You, and M. Daneshmand, "Enhancing the performance of LEACH protocol in wireless sensor networks," in *Computer Communications Workshops (INFOCOM WKSHPS)*, 2011

IEEE Conference on, 2011, pp. 223–228.

- W. B. Heinzelman, A. P. Chandrakasan, and H. Balakrishnan, "An application-specific protocol architecture for wireless microsensor networks," *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 660–670, 2002.
- 8 L. Qing, Q. Zhu, and M. Wang, "Design of a distributed energy-efficient clustering algorithm for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 29, no. 12, pp. 2230–2237, 2006.
- 9 N. Javaid, T. N. Qureshi, A. H. Khan, A. Iqbal, E. Akhtar, and M. Ishfaq, "EDDEEC: Enhanced developed distributed energy-efficient clustering for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," *Procedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 19, pp. 914–919, 2013.
- 10 T. N. Qureshi, N. Javaid, M. Malik, U. Qasim, and Z. A. Khan, "On performance evaluation of variants of DEEC in WSNs," in *Broadband*, *Wireless Computing, Communication and Applications (BWCCA), 2012 Seventh International Conference* on, 2012, pp. 162–169.
- 11 T. M. B. SK Mohapatra, P Mukherjee, HK Sahoo, "DEEC-VD: A Hybrid Energy Utilization Cluster-based Routing Protocol for WSN for application in IoT," in 16th International Conference on Information Technology, 2017.
- 12 T. Sharma, B. Kumar, and G. S. Tomar, "Performance Comparision of LEACH, SEP and DEEC Protocol in Wireless

Sensor Network," in *Proc. of the Intl. Conf.* on Advances in Computer Science and Electronics Engineering, 2012.

- 13 M. J. Handy, M. Haase, and D. Timmermann, "Low energy adaptive clustering hierarchy with deterministic cluster-head selection," in *Mobile and Wireless Communications Network, 2002. 4th International Workshop* on, 2002, pp. 368–372.
- 14 M. Naeem, M. Patwary, and M. Abdel-Maguid, "Universal and Dynamic Clustering Scheme for Energy Constrained Cooperative Wireless Sensor Networks," *IEEE Access*, 2017.
- 15 N. Javaid, S. N. Mohammad, K. Latif, U. Qasim, Z. A. Khan, and M. A. Khan, "HEER: Hybrid energy efficient reactive protocol for wireless sensor networks," in *Electronics, Communications and Photonics Conference (SIECPC), 2013 Saudi International,* 2013, pp. 1–4.
- 16 B. Elbhiri, R. Saadane, D. Aboutajdine, and others, "Developed Distributed Energy-Efficient Clustering (DDEEC) for heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," in *I/V Communications and Mobile Network* (*ISVC*), 2010 5th International Symposium on, 2010, pp. 1–4.
- P. Saini and A. K. Sharma, "Energy efficient scheme for clustering protocol prolonging the lifetime of heterogeneous wireless sensor networks," *Natl. Inst. Technol. Jalandhar, Dep. Comput. Sci. Eng. Int. J. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 6, no. 2, 2010.