# Fixed Point Theorems for Multivalued Mappings Satisfying Functional Inequality

## HEMA YADAV<sup>1</sup>, SHOYEB ALI SAYYED<sup>2</sup> and V.H. BADSHAH

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Rajiv Gandhi P.G. College, Mandsaur (India). <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Laxminarayan College of Technology, Indore (India). <sup>3</sup>School of Studies in Mathematics, Vikram University, Ujjain (India).

(Received: November 10, 2010; Accepted: December 12, 2010)

## ABSTRACT

In this paper we have established a fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings and generalized the result of Sayyed, Sayyed and Badshah<sup>6</sup>. AMS 2000 Subject Classifications : Primary 54H25, Secondary 47H10

Key words: Fixed point, Hausdorff Metric, Multivalued mappings, complete metric space.

#### INTRODUCTION

The fixed poing theory for single valued maps is very rich and well developed, the multivalued case is not. Note that multivalued mappings play a major role in many areas as in studying disjunctive logic programs. Nadler<sup>5</sup> was first to extend Banach contraction principle to multivalued contraction mapping.

The first theorem regarding to continuity of Fixed points of contraction mapping was presented by Bonsal<sup>1</sup>.

#### Theorem 1

Let (X, d) be complete metric space and let F and Fn (n = 1, 2,...) be contraction mappings of X into itself with the some Lipschitz constant K < 1, and with fixed points u and u<sub>n</sub> respectively. Suppose that  $\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n X = Fx$  for every  $x \in X$ . Then

U<sub>n</sub>=u.

Subsequently Nadler<sup>4</sup> obtained, results concerning sequence of contracting mappings.

## linTheorem 2

 ${\mathbb R} \to {\infty}$  Let (X, d) be a metric space, let  $F_1 : X \to X$ be a mapping with atleast one fixed point xi, for each i = 1, 2, and ..... let  $F_o : X \to X$  be a contraction mapping with fixed point  $x_o$ . If the sequence  $F_i$  converges uniformly to  $F_o$ , then sequence  $\{x_i\}$  converges to  $x_o$ .

Let A be a closed bounded subset of Hilbert space X, d the metric of X and H the Haudorff metric on the closed subset of A generated by d. It is assumed that the family of set valued mappings Fk, (K = 0, 1, 2, ...) satisfy the following conditions.

- 1.  $F_{k'}(X)$  is non empty closed convex subset of A for each  $x \in A$
- 2. Each  $F_k$  is set valued contraction, that is there is a  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  such that H ( $F_k(x), F_k(y)$ )  $\leq \lambda d$ (x,y) for x, y  $\in$  A and K = 0, 1, 2, ...
- 3. H ( $F_k(x)$ ,  $F_0(x)$ ) = 0 uniformly for all  $x \in A$

Let CB (X) denote the set of non empty closed bounded subset of X. The following is a simple co sequences of the definition of Haudorff metric H. Let A, B,  $\in$  CB (X) and a  $\in$  A. If n > 0 then there exist  $b \in B$  such that  $d(a,b) \leq H(A, B) + n$ , i.e.,  $d(a, b, ) \leq PH(A, B)$  Where P > 1. It  $A, B, \in C$ (X) and  $a \in A$ , then there exist  $b \in B$  such that  $d(a,b) \leq H(A, B)$ .

The following theorem is were proved by Bose and Mukherjee<sup>2</sup>.

## **Definition 1**

Let H (A, B) = Inf { $\in$ /A  $\subset$  N ( $\in$ , B) and B  $\subset$  N ( $\in$ , A)} for A, B,  $\in$  CB (X) where N ( $\in$ , C) = {x  $\in$  X | d (x,c) <  $\in$  for some c  $\in$  C} where  $\in$  > O and C  $\in$  CB (X). The function H is a metric on CB (X) and is called Haudorff metric. The metric H depends on the metric d of X and two equivalent metrics on X may not generate equivalent Hausdorff metrics for CB (X) (Kelley) [3])

#### **Definition 2**

Let  $(x_1, d_1)$  and  $(y_1, d_2)$  be two metric spaces. Let  $F : (X_1, d_1) \rightarrow CB$  (Y). F is said to be multivalued contraction mapping if and only if

H (fx, fy) 
$$\leq$$
 K d1 (x, y) x, y  $\in$  X

Where  $0 \le K < 1$ , is a fixed real number

Definition 3

Let (X, d) be a complete metric space. A mapping F: X  $\rightarrow$  X is said to be of generalized another type if

 $\begin{array}{l} [d \ (Fx, \ Fy)]^2 \leq \alpha \ [d(x, \ Fx), \ d(y, \ Fy) + d(x, \ Fy) \ d(y, \ Fx)] \\ + \beta \ [d \ (x, \ Fx) \ d \ (x, \ Fy) + d(y, \ Fy) \ d(y, \ Fx)] \end{array}$ 

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  are non negative numbers such that  $0 \le \alpha + \beta < 1$ .

Let CB (X) denote the set of non empty closed bounded subset of X.

#### Theorem 3

Let  $\{F_n\}$  be a sequence of self mappings of X having at least one fixed point  $x_n$  each and let  $\{F_n\}$  converges uniformly to Fo, a mapping of generalized Kannan - Reich type. Let Xo be the unique fixed point of Fo. Then Xn  $\rightarrow$ Xo.

### Theorem 4

Let  $\{F_n\}$  be a sequence of mapping of

generalized Kannan - Riech type and let {F<sub>n</sub>} converges pointwise to F, a generalized Kannan - Reich type mapping. Let X<sub>n</sub> and X<sub>o</sub> be fixed points of F<sub>n</sub> and F resp. Then Xn  $\rightarrow$  Xo.

The following is a simple consequences of the definition of Hausdorff metric H. Let A, B  $\in$ CB (X) and a  $\in$  A. if  $\eta > 0$ , then there exist b  $\in$  B, such that d (a, b)  $\leq$  H (A, B) +  $\eta$  i.e., d(a, b)  $\leq$  PH (A, B) where p > 1. If A, B  $\in$  C (X) and a  $\in$  A, then there exist b  $\in$  B such that d (a,b)  $\leq$  H (A,B).

The following theorem were proved by Bose and Mukherjee<sup>2</sup>.

#### **Theorem 5**

Let (X, d) be complete bounded metric space and let F:  $X \to CL(X)$  be mapping satisfying the following condition.

$$d(\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}),\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{y}) \leq \alpha \left[\frac{\left\{d\left(x,F(x)\right)\right\}^{2} + \left\{d\left(y,F(y)\right)\right\}^{2}}{d\left(x,F(x)\right) + d(y,F(y))}\right] + \beta d(x,y)$$

 $\label{eq:andbased} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{where } \alpha \mbox{ and } \beta \mbox{ are non-negative numbers} \\ 0 < 2 \ \alpha + \beta < 1. \mbox{ then F has a fixed point.} \end{array}$ 

Proof

1.

Let  $x_0 \in X$  consider the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  where  $X_{2n+1} \in F(X_{2n})$ 

Let us assume d (
$$F(x_0)$$
,  $F(x_1)$ ) = 0  
 $\Rightarrow$  then f has fixed point.

II. Now if d (F(x<sub>0</sub>), F (x1)) 
$$\neq$$
 0  
Then E as number h > d [F(x<sub>0</sub>), F(x<sub>1</sub>)]  
Such that d(x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>)  $\leq$  h  
Let h = p<sup>-1</sup> d(F(x<sub>0</sub>), F(x<sub>1</sub>))  
Where P = (a+b)<sup>1/2</sup>

Then

$$d(\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{2}) \leq \mathbf{p}^{-1} \left[ \alpha \frac{\left[ d(x_{0},F(x_{0})) \right]^{2} + \left\{ d(x_{1},F(x_{1})) \right]^{2}}{d(x_{0},F(x_{0})) + d(x_{0},F(x_{1}))} \right] + \beta d(x_{0},x_{0})$$
  

$$Pd(\mathbf{x}_{1},\mathbf{x}_{2}) \leq \alpha \left[ \frac{\left\{ d(x_{0},x_{1}) \right\}^{2} + \left\{ d(x_{1},x_{2}) \right\}^{2}}{d(x_{0},x_{1}) + d(x_{1},x_{2})} \right] + \beta d(x_{0},x_{1})$$

222

$$< \alpha[d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + d(x_{1}, x_{2})] + \beta d(x_{0}, x_{1}) < \alpha[d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + d(x_{1}, x_{2})] + \beta d(x_{0}, x_{1}) Pd(x_{1}, x_{2}) < \alpha(\alpha + \beta)d(x_{0}, x_{1}) + \alpha d(x_{1}, x_{2}) d(x_{1}, x_{2}) < \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{p - \alpha}\right)d(x_{0}, x_{1})$$

continuing in similar fashion there exist  $\boldsymbol{x}_{_3} \in F(\boldsymbol{x}_{_2})$  such that

$$d(x_2, x_3) < \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{p - \alpha}\right)^2 d(x_0, x_1)$$

We have  $\alpha > 0$   $\alpha = \left(\frac{\alpha + \beta}{p - \alpha}\right)$ 

Further,

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) < \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^{2n+1}}{(p - \alpha)^{2n+1}} d(x_0, x_1)$$

. .

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n+2}) < \alpha^{2n+1} d(x_0, x_1)$$

It can be easily seen that the sequence is Cauchy sequence and hence converges to some points  $u \in X$  consider.

$$\begin{aligned} d \ (F(u), \ u) &\leq d(F(u), \ x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, u) \\ &\leq d(F(u), \ F(x_n)) + d(x_{n+1}, u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq p^{-1}\left[\frac{\alpha\left\{d\left(u,F(u)\right)\right\}^{2}+\left(x_{n},F(x_{n})\right)}{d\left(u,F(u)\right)+d\left(x_{n},F(x_{n})\right)}\right]+\beta d(u,x_{n})$$

$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Pd}(\mathsf{F}(\mathsf{u}),\,\mathsf{u}) < \alpha \; [\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{u}),\,\mathsf{F}(\mathsf{u})) + \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}}))] + \beta \; \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}}) \\ + \; \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n+1}},\,\mathsf{u}) \\ < \alpha \; [\mathsf{d}(\mathsf{u}),\,\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{u})) + \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n+1}}))] + \beta \; \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{u},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}}) \\ + \; \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{xn}_{\mathsf{+1}},\,\mathsf{u}) \\ \Rightarrow \; (\mathsf{P}{\text{-}}\alpha) \; \mathsf{d}(\mathsf{f}(\mathsf{u}),\mathsf{u}) \leq \alpha \mathsf{d}\; (\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n+1}}) + \beta \; \mathsf{d}\; (\mathsf{u},\,\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}}) + \mathsf{d}\; (\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n+1}},\mathsf{u}) \end{array}$$

Taking limit  $n \to \infty$  them

$$\begin{array}{l} (\mathsf{P}\text{-}\alpha) \ d \ (\mathsf{F}(u), \, u) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \mathsf{F} \ (u) = u \\ u \ \text{is a fixed point for F.} \end{array}$$

## REFERENCES

- Bonsal, F.F., lectures on some fixed point theorems of functional analysis, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, India (1962).
- Bose, R.K. and Mukherjee, R.N., Stability of Fixed point sets and common Fixed point of families of mappings, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.* 11(9): 1130-1138 (1980).
- 3. Kelly, J.L., General Topology van nostrand Reinhalt, prineton New Jersey (1955).
- Nadler, S.B., Jr Sequences of contraction and Fixed points, *Pac. J. Math*, 27: 579-585 (1968).
- Nadler, S.B., Jr. multivalued contraction mappings pacific *J. Math.* **30**: 475-488 (1965).
- Sayyed S.A., Sayyed F. and Badshah V.H., Fixed Point theorem and multivalued mappings. Acta cienacia Indiaca 28(2): 155-158 (2002).