INTRODUCTION

Credit risk analysis and management is important to financial institutions which provide loans to businesses and individuals. Credit risk prediction is one of the critical parts of a bank's loan approval decision process for efficient functioning of the banking system. Statistical predictive analytic techniques are one of the conventional methods applied by many researchers to analyze or to determine risk levels involved in credits, finances, and loans. Siddiqi, Naeem developed scorecard methodology for predicting credit risk. The scorecard is a statistically based model for attributing a number (score) to a customer (or an account) which indicates the predicted probability that the customer will exhibit a certain behavior. Sara C. Madeira et al., described a data mining approach to credit risk evaluation for a Portuguese telecommunication company. 

Prediction model was used for predicting churn in the home loans of ZBANK. But the regression methods do not give correct prediction results for complex data. So the decision tree approach which overcomes the problems of regression method is used. To construct the decision tree the bank customer database is considered as case study.

Data Warehouse

The bank needs different types of information in order to manage risk through capital allocation for Value at Risk coverage. The bank is concerned about the customer’s details and his credit risk. While constructing the data warehouse bank may collect various information about the customer, but only a part of it is used to predict the risk. So only the relevant columns have been selected in this paper to implement the algorithm. A sample of 20 records from the data warehouse was chosen for the decision analytical purpose. In our case study the attributes owns home with data yes or no, marital status with values married or unmarried, gender male or female, education bachelor or masters and income (a<25000, 25000<b<50000, c>50000) are considered. The output of classification is assumed as two credit risk categories low c1 and high c2.
Model Used
The researchers are using multiple or logistic regression, neural networks and decision trees for prediction. The regression methods are not working well for complex modeling when data have severe skews and they are producing random predictions. Hence traditional financial models for credit risk prediction are not adequate for describing today’s complex relationship between the financial health and potential bankruptcy of a company. Classification approach which separates objects into classes is used. If the classes are created without looking at data, the classification is called apriori classification else if classes are created by looking at data then the classification is called posteriori classification. There are various techniques of data mining for prediction and classification. The common methods include decision tree, Bayesian, rule based.

Decision Tree
A decision tree is a flow chart like tree structure, where each internal node denotes a test on attribute, each branch represents an outcome of the test, and the leaf nodes represent classes. To classify an unknown sample the attribute values of the sample are tested against the tree. A path is traced from the root to leaf node that holds the class prediction for that sample. The Decision Trees can be easily converted to rules.

Advantages of Decision Trees
- They are easy to use and efficient.
- Rules can easily be generated and are easy to interpret.
- They are suitable for large databases also.
- Each tuple in the data has to be filtered through the tree. This takes the time proportional to height of the tree.

Disadvantages also exist with the Decision Trees. They do not easily handle continuous data. Over fitting may occur.

The issues with Decision Tree algorithms are
- Choosing the splitting attribute.
- Ordering of the splitting attribute
- Splits

### Table 1: Sample records

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.No</th>
<th>Own home</th>
<th>Married</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Education</th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>bachelors</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>bachelors</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>bachelors</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>bachelors</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>bachelors</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>bachelors</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>bachelors</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>masters</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>bachelors</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>bachelors</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For ID3 decision tree, concept used to quantify information is called entropy. Entropy is used to measure the amount of uncertainty in a set of data. When all data in a set belongs to a single class the entropy is zero that is there is no uncertainty.

Given the probabilities \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \) where \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1 \), Entropy \( I \) is calculated as

\[
I(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log(1/p_i) \quad \ldots(1)
\]

Gain \( (D,S) = I(D) - \sum p(D_i)I(D_i) \quad \ldots(2) \)

**ID3 Algorithm**

Considering the advantages and disadvantages of ID3 decision tree and the customer risk prediction we chose the ID3 algorithm.

- **Advantages of ID3 algorithm**
  1. Every discrete classification function can be represented by a decision tree. It cannot happen that ID3 will search an incomplete hypothesis space.
  2. Instead of making decisions based on individual training examples, ID3 uses statistical properties of all examples (information gain) resulting search is much less sensitive to errors in individual training examples.

- **Disadvantages of ID3 algorithm**
  1. ID3 determines a single hypothesis, not a space of consistent hypotheses. ID3 cannot determine how many different decision trees are consistent with the available training data.
  2. ID3 grows the tree to perfectly classify the training examples without performing a backtracking in its search. ID3 may overfit the training data and converge to locally optimal solution that is not globally optimal.
  3. ID3 algorithm does not handle noise nodes.

**Algorithm**

To overcome limitation of noise nodes in ID3 an improved version of ID3 is adopted. In this current algorithm once a node is identified as noise node it is marked as bad node and added to a set. This node is not further considered for splitting.

Input: 20 Sample Records with attributes own home, gender, married, education, and income.

PROCEDURE BuildTree(Data, ATTRIBUTE)
{
    Build(Data);
    IF (all Risk Class values of sample data in Data are the same)
    THEN Return N as a leaf node;
    ELSE
    {
        FOR (each attribute in ATTRIBUTE)
        {
            IF (the attribute of the node hasn’t been used to be a classification attribute before) THEN
            Compute the information gain of the attribute of the node;
            }
            IF (the attribute whose information gain is the biggest (>0) is marked as ATT) THEN
            {
                Mark node as the node which needs to be divided next step according to ATT;
                Divide N into Nk, and generate each branch of the node N;
            }
            ELSE
            {
                Mark the node as a bad node;
                Return the node as a leaf node;
            }
            FOR (each branch Nk) BuildTree(N, ATTRIBUTE);
        }
    }
}

**Rules Generated From Decision Tree:**

1. if income= a and married= no and owns home= no then risk=high
2. if income = a and married= no and owns home= yes then risk=low
3. if income = a and married= yes then risk=high
4. income = b and gender= male and education= bachelors then risk=low
5. if income = b and gender= male and education= masters then risk=high
6. if income = b and gender= female then risk=low
7. if income = c then risk=high
Verification
Verification of the decision tree

For the same sample data set, decision tree is constructed by considering the gini index as splitting criterion. The association rules generated from the tree are same as that generated by the algorithm adopted in this current paper.

Association Rule

The association rule algorithm identifies the relations between the different attributes of customer. It helps in making decisions about the credit risk level of a customer. The rules generated by the association analysis have support and credibility. The credibility measures the accuracy of the association and support measures the usage scope of the rule.

In the current case study customer’s marital status, gender, education, income and whether he owns home or not who belong to credit risk class low are considered as items and support, credibility as 30%, 90%.

The values of owns home, marital status are converted as \{o1,o2\}\{m1,m2\}\{c1,c2\} and so on.

The transaction set \(X\) generated from the items shown in table 1 is

\[X = \{(o1,m1),(o1,g1),(o1,e1),(o1,i3),(m1,e1),(m1,i3),(g1,e1),(g1,i3),(e1,i3),(o1,m1,g1),(o1,m1,e1),(o1,m1,i3),(o1,m1,e1,i3),(o1,m1,g1,e1),(o1,m1,g1,i3),(o1,m1,g1,e1,i3),(m2,g1),(m2,e1),(o1,g1,e1),(o1,g1,i3),(o1,g1,e1,i3),(g1,e1,i3),(g1,g1,i3),(o1,g1,e1,\ldots\}\}

\(X\) is item combination of all frequent item set who belong to credit risk class \(c1\). Among this set we consider only those item sets which satisfy the min support and min credibility, the following rules for the class type=low are obtained

1. \(o1 \rightarrow m2\)
2. \(o1 \rightarrow g1\)
3. \(o1 \rightarrow 1\)
4. \(o1 \rightarrow 3\)
5. \((o1 \rightarrow 1) \rightarrow 3\)
6. \(m2 \rightarrow 1\)
The rule can be inferred as a customer belongs to class $c_1$ if he owns home and he is married. This rule is equivalent to second rule generated by the current algorithm.

Accuracy

We in this paper used the Holdout method for estimating the accuracy of the method which requires a training set and a test set. The data set is divided into two subsets one the training and other the test set or holdout subset. Once the ID3 algorithm generates the decision tree and the rules then the test set is used to estimate the accuracy.

**CONCLUSION**

This paper uses an improved ID3 algorithm to construct decision tree and generate the association rules. This algorithm can be extended to implement pruning to the skewed decision tree.
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